Applicant(s) Application No. SHIMODA ET AL. 10/617.746 Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit 2813 Tuan H. Nguyen All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (3)_____. (1) Tuan H. Nguyen. (4)_____. (2) Randi Isaacs (Reg. # 56,046). Date of Interview: 15 February 2005. Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c)⊠ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2) applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e)⊠ No. If Yes, brief description: _____. Claim(s) discussed: 1-15. Identification of prior art discussed: Art of record. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed on the proposed amendment, the 35 U.S.C. 112 rejection would be withdrawn in view of the amended claims; agreed that Boisdron et al. does not disclose the TFT layer. Claim 15 wil be reconsidered when a formal response is filed. A further search would be conducted for new claims 16-18. The case will be reconsidered .. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS

GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature if required